



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,929	10/05/2001	Jun Koyama	0756-2368	3139
31780	7590	09/23/2008	EXAMINER	
ERIC ROBINSON				KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K
PMB 955				
21010 SOUTHBANK ST.				
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165				
				2629
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/23/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/970,929	KOYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SRILAKSHMI K. KUMAR	2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 July 2008.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-18, 37-54, 73-90, 109-126 and 145-188 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-18, 37-54, 73-90, 109-126, 145-188 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

The following office action is in response to the amendment filed on July 16, 2008 . Claims 1-18, 37-54, 73-90, 109-126, and 145-188 are pending. Claims 1, 10, 37, 46, 73, 82, 109, 118, 145, 152, 159, 166 and 185-188 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. ***Claims 1-9, 37-45, 145-151, 173, and 181***, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwon et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,953,003) in view of Maekawa (US 5,850,204).

With reference to **claims 1, 37, and 145** Kwon et al. teaches a display device (Fig. 3) comprising: a pixel portion (61-69); a source signal line driving circuit (50) and a gate line driving circuit (40); a shift register (42, 52) for outputting a pulse in accordance with clock signals (see column 4, lines 2-8); a current source configured to decide whether a current is

outputted or not by the signal from the shift register (col. 4, line 21-col. 5, line 5, where based on the output of the shift register, it is determined whether a current is input into the pixel, specifically in col. 4, lines 30-40), a level shifter (44, 56).

Kwon et al fail to teach where the current source supplies current to the level shifter. Maekawa teaches a current source which supplies a current to said level shifter in col. 6, lines 43-col. 7, line 9 and Fig. 5, where the level shifter is taught by P_3 , P_4 , N_4 , N_6 , and the current, I . It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the current source which supplies a current to said level shifter as taught by Maekawa into the display of Kwon in order to reduce deterioration of the display and enhance driving (Maekawa, col. 3, lines 24-37).

With reference to **claims 2, 38, and 146**, while not specifically teaching that the source and gate line driving circuits and the pixel portion are provided over a glass substrate, Kwon et al. do teach conventional active matrix displays including a plurality of transistors and capacitors on a glass substrate (see column 1, lines 32-35).

The examiner takes Official Notice in that it is well known to one skilled in the art, for the source and gate line driving circuits and the pixel portion to be provided over a glass substrate.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to allow for the source line driving circuit, the gate line driving circuit, and the pixel portion to be provided over a glass substrate as well known in the art and suggested by Kwon et al. in order to provide a transparent surface for constructing the display device for displaying images.

With reference to **claims 3, 4, 39, and 40**, neither Kwon et al. nor Maekawa teach that the driving circuit is provided on the same or different substrate as the pixel portion.

However, while not specifically teaching that the driving circuits and the pixels circuits are disposed on the same or different substrates, the Examiner takes Official notice in that conventional display devices are well known to have both of these configurations.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to allow for the driving circuits and the pixels to be formed on the display substrates in accordance with what is conventionally known allowing placement on either one substrate or both of the substrates in order to produce a display device capable of display images.

With reference to **claims 5-9, 41-45, and 147-151**, while Kwon et al. teaches the usage of flat displays such as liquid crystal display (see column 1, lines 15-20) there fails to be any disclosure of the display device being incorporated into a personal computer, portable information terminal, car audio set, or digital camera.

However, the examiner takes Official Notice in that it is well known in the art for display device, preferably LCD device to be incorporated into personal computers, portable information terminals, car audio sets, and digital cameras.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the usage of the LCD, similar to that which is taught by Kwon et al. in a wide range of user devices thereby providing the user with a clearer image of the displayed information.

With reference to **claims 173, 175, and 181**, while Kwon et al. teaches the usage of a source signal line driving circuit and a gate signal line driving circuit, wherein the source signal

line driving circuit includes a latch type transmission array comprising thin film transistors (see column 5, line 45-column 6, line 12), there fails to be any other specific disclosure of the driving circuits comprising thin film transistors.

However, the examiner takes Official Notice in that it is well known in the art for the driving circuits of a display device to comprise of thin film transistors.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the usage of thin film transistors in the driving circuits in order to thereby provide appropriate driving signals to drive the image onto the display device.

4. ***Claims 10-18, 46-54, 73-90, 109-126, 152-172, 174, 176-180, and 182-188*** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwon et al. in view of Callahan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,574,475) and further in view of Maekawa (US 5,850,204).

With reference to **claims 10, 46, 73, 82, 109, 118, 152, 159, and 166, 185-188** Kwon et al. teaches a display device (60) comprising: a pixel portion (61-69); a source signal line driving circuit (50) and a gate line driving circuit (40); a shift register (42, 52) included in the source signal line driving circuit and in the gate line driving circuit (see Figure 3) for outputting a pulse in accordance with clock signals (see column 4, lines 2-8); a level shifter (44, 56) included in the driving circuits for converting a voltage amplitude of input signals (see column 4, lines 17-20; 53-57); and a current source (46, 58) provided in the source and gate signal line driving circuits for supplying a current to the level shifter based on the pulse from the shift register (see column 4, lines 15-20; 46-57).

While teaching the usage of a source line and gate line driving circuits there fails to be any disclosure of a first to x-th unit included in the driving circuits, as recited in **claims 10, 46, 82, 152, 166**, or the usage of a decoder, as recited in **claims 73, 82, 109, 118, 159**. Also, Kwon fails to specifically teach wherein only when said plurality of shift registers in said a-th unit serially outputs the pulses, said a-th current source supplies the current and said level shifters are operated.

Callahan et al. teaches a source signal line driving circuit (14) composed of signal drivers 1-11 and a gate line driver (16) composed of a plurality of fate drivers (see Figure 2). There is also taught the usage of a decoder (30) included in the source signal line driving circuit for outputting pulses n accordance with input signals (see column 5, lines 39-50).

Kwon et al as modified by Callahan fail to teach where the current source supplies current to the level shifter. Maekawa teaches a current source which supplies a current to said level shifter in col. 6, lines 43-col. 7, line 9 and Fig. 5, where the level shifter is taught by P_3 , P_4 , N_4 , N_6 , and the current, I . It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the current source which supplies a current to said level shifter as taught by Maekawa into the display of Kwon as modified by Callahan in order to reduce deterioration of the display and enhance driving (Maekawa, col. 3, lines 24-37).

With reference to **claims 11, 47, 74, 83, 110, 119, 153, 160, and 167**, while not specifically teaching that the source and gate line driving circuits and the pixel portion are provided over a glass substrate, Kwon et al. does teach conventional active matrix displays including a plurality of transistors and capacitors on a glass substrate (see column 1, lines 32-35).

The examiner takes Official Notice in that it is well known, and obvious to one skilled in the art, for the source and gate line driving circuits and the pixel portion to be provided over a glass substrate.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to allow for the source line driving circuit, the gate line driving circuit, and the pixel portion to be provided over a glass substrate as well known in the art and suggested by Kwon et al. in order to provide a transparent surface for constructing the display device for displaying images.

With reference to **claims 12, 13, 48, 49, 75, 76, 84, 85, 111, 112, 120, and 121**, neither Kwon et al. nor Callahan nor Mackawa teach that the driving circuit is provided on the same or different substrate as the pixel portion.

However, while not specifically teaching that the driving circuits and the pixels circuits are disposed on the same or different substrates, the Examiner takes Official notice in that conventional display devices are well known to have both of these configurations.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to allow for the driving circuits and the pixels to be formed on the display substrates in accordance with what is conventionally known allowing placement on either one substrate or both of the substrates in order to produce a display device capable of display images.

With reference to **claims 14-18, 50-54, 77-81, 86-90, 113-117, 122-126, 154-158, 161-165, and 168-184**, while Kwon et al. teaches the usage of flat displays such as liquid crystal display (see column 1, lines 15-20) there fails to be any disclosure of the display device being incorporated into a personal computer, portable information terminal, car audio set, or digital camera.

However, the examiner takes Official Notice in that it is well known in the art for display device, preferably LCD device to be incorporated into personal computers, portable information terminals, car audio sets, and digital cameras.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the usage of the LCD, similar to that which is taught by Kwon et al. in a wide range of user devices thereby providing the user with a clearer image of the displayed information.

With reference to **claims 174, 176-180, and 182-184**, while Kwon et al. teaches the usage of a source signal line driving circuit and a gate signal line driving circuit, wherein the source signal line driving circuit includes a latch type transmission array comprising thin film transistors (see column 5, line 45-column 6, line 12), there fails to be any other specific disclosure of the driving circuits comprising thin film transistors.

However, the examiner takes Official Notice in that it is well known in the art for the driving circuits of a display device to comprise of thin film transistors.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the usage of thin film transistors in the driving circuits in order to thereby provide appropriate driving signals to drive the image onto the display device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 16, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's arguments of where the prior art of Kwon, Maekawa and Callahan do not teach or suggest "a current source configured to decide whether a current is outputted or not by the signal from the shift register", Examiner, respectfully, disagrees.

Kwon teaches in col. 4, lines 21-col. 5, line 5 where the current source outputs a current based on the signal from the shift register. Kwon teaches where based on the output signal of the shift register, it is determined whether there is an input into the pixel from the current source. If the logic out from the shift register is zero, then the logic in is also zero. Specifically in col. 4, lines 30-40, the current is input to the pixels only when there is output from the shift register.

What Kwon does not teach is where the level shifter receives input from the current source. To remedy this deficiency, the reference of Maekawa teaches a current source which supplies a current to said level shifter in col. 6, lines 43-col. 7, line 9 and Fig. 5, where the level shifter is taught by P_3, P_4, N_4, N_6 , and the current, I. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the current source which supplies a current to said level shifter as taught by Maekawa into the display of Kwon in order to reduce deterioration of the display and enhance driving (Maekawa, col. 3, lines 24-37).

Therefore the combination of Kwon et al, Callahan and Maekawa teach the limitations set forth in the instant application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SRILAKSHMI K. KUMAR whose telephone number is (571)272-7769. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sue Lefkowitz can be reached on 571 272 3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Srilakshmi K Kumar/
Examiner
Art Unit 2629

SKK
September 14, 2008